

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,489	MUNGALL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673	

All Participants:

(1) Jong-Suk (James) Lee.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's attorney to present changes to abovementioned claim, especially to clarify the claim scope. It was agreed that -- across topographical feature -- be inserted to the connecting feature in the body of the claim. Claims 1 and 3-33 are allowed (see examiner's amendment for the detail).